STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - FAMILY DIVISION

ORDER FOLLOWING PERMANENCY PLANNING CASENO. **HEARING, PRE-TERMINATION**

PETITION NO.

COUNTY	(CHILD PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS), PAGE 1 ORDEROF	
Court address		Court telephone no.
1. In the matter of name(s), alias(es), D	ОВ	
2. Date of hearing:	Judge/Referee:	Bar no.
3. Removal date:	(specify for each child if c	
Last permanency planning hearing	date:	_ (specify for each child if different)
4. The above-named child(ren) is/are	in foster care in the temporary custody of the court.	
5. Notice of hearing for permanency p	lanning was served as required by law.	
THE COURT FINDS: 6. The lawyer-guardian ad litem	nas \square has not complied with the requireme	ents of MCL 712A.17d.
7. The court has considered the case	service plan and other evidence presented. The fine	dings below are specific to this case and
are based upon this hearing, and	\Box the following report(s): ${\text{identify report(s) and date(s)}}$	of report(s)
a. compliance with the case service	record as required by MCL 712A.19(6) were plan with respect to services provided or offered to each parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian complied to	
b. compliance with the case service or was infrequent and the reason	e plan with respect to parenting time with each child ans why.	nd whether parenting time did not occur
	guardian, or legal custodian complied with each proveen the parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian and	
d. likely harm to each child if the ch	ild continued to be separated from his or her paren	t(s), guardian, or legal custodian.
e. likely harm to each child if the ch	ild was returned to his or her parent(s), guardian, o	r legal custodian.
☐ 8. Progress toward alleviating or micare ☐ was ☐ was not	tigating the conditions that caused the child(ren) to b made in accordance with MCL 712A.19(7).	e placed or to remain in temporary foster
9. The child(ren)'s continued placeme ☐ is no longer necessary or app		g the child(ren)'s needs.
☐ 10. Returning the child(ren) to the prisk of harm to the child(ren)'s I	parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian	☐ would not cause a substantial
	turned to the parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian e the parental rights to the child(ren).	. The agency $\ \square$ should $\ \square$ should not
(SEE SECOND PAGE)	Do not write below this line	e - For court use only

STATE OF MICHIGAN

ORDER FOLLOWING PERMANENCY PLANNING CASE NO. HEARING PRE-TERMINATION

DETITION NO

COUNTY	(CHILD PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS), PAGE 2 ORDEROF	PETITIONNO.
Court address	I	Court telephone no.
In the matter of		
☐ 1) Reasonable efforts for	were not made to preserve and reunify the child(ren)'s home. (specify reasonable efforts below reunification should be continued. orts were successful and the child(ren) should be released.	
Name of parent, guardian,	or legal custodian	·
The reasonable efforts inclu	ude: (specify)	
☐ b. Reasonable efforts to preser home are not required based	rve and reunify the family to make it possible for the chi d on a prior order.	ld(ren) to safely return to the child(ren)'s
13. Reasonable efforts have a a. return to the parent for the ch	have not been made to finalize the court-appro	
b. legal guardianship for the chi	ild(ren) named	
c. adoption for the child(ren) nad. placement with a fit and willing	amed ng relative for the child(ren) named	·
	ed permanent living arrangement, identified as	
(provide the name of each child a	and then specify the compelling reasons for another planned pe	, due to the compelling reasons that ermanent living arrangement for each child, as
	age that corresponds to the number[s] from the list on page 4)	
The reasonable efforts made to fin	alize the court-approved permanency plan identified	ahove include:
	child and the reasonable efforts made toward finalizing that pla	
☐ Since adoption is the court-app proceedings to terminate parer	proved permanency plan, the Department of Human Sontal rights.	Services shall be ordered to initiate
☐ 14. Parenting time with	, even if supe	ervised, may be harmful to the child(ren).

(SEE THIRD PAGE)

STATE OF MICHIGAN

ORDER FOLLOWING PERMANENCY PLANNING CASE NO. **HEARING. PRE-TERMINATION**

PETITION NO.

COUNTY	(CHILD PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS), PAGE 3 ORDEROF	remonito.
Court address		Court telephone no.
In the matter of		
ITIS ORDERED:		
☐ 15. Jurisdiction of this court is term	ninated and the child(ren) is/are released to	
☐ 16. The Department of Human Sed days from the date of this hear	rvices shall initiate proceedings to terminate parenta ing.	al rights to the child(ren) no later than 42
	I in the temporary custody of this court and the Department of Human Services for care and sup	pervision.
b. are released to	parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian	
	ustodian shall comply with and benefit from the case	
☐ 19. Parenting time of		is
	ent of Human Services and/or its designee. on of the Department of Human Services.	
	pical evaluation or counseling is conducted, or until fu	urther order of the court.
☐ 20. Parenting time of		is
	ent of Human Services and/or its designee. on of the Department of Human Services.	
	pical evaluation or counseling is conducted, or until fu	urther order of the court.
21. Parenting time of		is
	ent of Human Services and/or its designee. on of the Department of Human Services.	
	ical evaluation or counseling is conducted, or until fu	urther order of the court.
22. Other: (include reimbursement pro	ovisions as required by MCL 712A.18[2], attach separate shee	t if necessary)

STATE OF MICHIGAN

ORDER FOLLOWING PERMANENCY PLANNING | CASE NO.

JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - FAMILY DIVISION COUNTY	(CHILD PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS), PAGE 4 ORDEROF	PETITION NO.
Court address		Court telephone no.
In the matter of		
23. Prior orders remain in effect excep	ot as modified by this order.	
or another matter is pending. MCL 7' of removal of the child and every 12 dispositional review hearing. The supervising agency shall pro	be delayed beyond the number of days required regardless of 12A.19a provides that the permanency planning hearing shall n	ot be delayed beyond 12 months from the date g hearing s of the last court-ordered treatment plan,
25. Notice of the next hearing has b	een provided as required by law.	e next hearing shall be provided.
Date	Judge	

The following list are examples of compelling reasons for a permanency plan other than return to parent, legal guardianship, placement with a fit and willing relative, or adoption.

- 1. No relative has been identified who is appropriate or available to assume the permanent custody of the child.
- The current caregiver is not an adoptive resource.
- The child has a significant attachment to the parent(s), and it is in the child's best interests that it be preserved through parenting time and contact.
- Reasonable efforts to recruit an adoptive home have been unsuccessful.
- The child does not want to be adopted and is of an age where due consideration must be given to his/her wishes.
- It is contrary to the child's best interests to break the child's attachment to the current caregivers.
- The current caregiver is committed to providing a permanent placement for the child. 7.
- The placement allows the siblings to remain together.
- The child's special needs can best be met in this placement.
- 10. The child wants to remain in the current placement, which is only available as foster care.
- 11. The placement is preparing the child for transition into independent living (specify the services being provided to the child to assist with transition such as referral to an independent living skills program, enrollment in a vocational program, referral for a mentor, continued out-of-home placement in foster care beyond age 18 to allow the child to complete secondary school, placement in a resource that provides on-site training for independent living, and other similar services).
- 12. The child comes under the Indian Child Welfare Act, and the child's tribe recommends permanent placement in long-term foster
- 13. Other (specify in the findings in item 13e).